# Reactions of cis- and trans-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-Octahydro-11-oxodibenzo[b,e]thiepins and -Oxepins Mikio Kurokawa\*, Hitoshi Uno, Akira Itogawa, Fuminori Sato,

Shunsuke Naruto and Jun-ichi Matsumoto

Research Laboratories, Dainippon Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Enoki 33-94, Suita, Osaka 564, Japan Received July 15, 1991

Several reactions of 6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-oxodibenzo[b,e]thiepins and -oxepins were studied, which included reduction, oxidation, the Grignard reaction, thiation, and the Wittig reaction. Stereochemistry of the reaction products was confirmed on the basis of proton nuclear magnetic resonance analysis.

# J. Heterocyclic Chem., 28, 1891 (1991).

In the previous papers [1] [2], we reported the synthesis and stereochemistry of new tricyclic compounds, transand cis-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-oxodibenzo-[b,e]thiepins 1 and 2 and -oxepins 3 and 4, as well as their acetic acid derivatives 5 which possessed potent antiinflammatory activity. However, their chemical and biological properties have not extensively been studied thus far in contrast to the corresponding dibenzo derivatives [3] [4]. It became interest to us to study chemical properties of the octahydro derivatives 1-4 in order to develop biologically-active compounds with a new tricyclic nucleus. Hence we chose to investigate several fundamental reactions of 1-4, which reactions included reduction, oxidation, the Grignard reaction, thiation and the Wittig reaction; this is the primary subject of the present paper.

### Reductions.

Reductions of trans-6a-H,10a-H-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-oxodibenzo[b,e]thiepin 1 and -oxepin 3 with sodium borohydride in methanol at 5° gave trans-6a-H,10a-H-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-hydroxydi-

1 X = S, R - H, 6a, 10a-trans 2 X = S, R - H, 6a, 10a-cis 3 X = O, R - H, 6a, 10a-trans 4 X = O, R - H, 6a, 10a-cis 5 X - S,O, R - CH(Me)COOH

Chart 1

a: NaBH4/MeOH b: NaBH4/CF3COOH c: HCl/EtOH d: H2, Pd-C/EtOH

Table I

Physical Properties of the Reduction Products 6-15

6-13

14, 15

Compound No.	X		Stereochen 6a-H/10a-H	•	Method [a]	Yield (%)	Mp (°C)	Recrystal- lization Solvent	t <sub>R</sub> [b] (minutes)	Formula		alysis ( ed. (For H	. ,
6a	S	ОН	trans	trans	A	5	[c]		28.9	$\mathrm{C}_{14}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{OS}$	71.75 (71.78	7.74 8.04	13.68 13.79)
6Ь	S	ОН	trans	cis	A	88	[c]		25.9	$\mathrm{C}_{14}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{OS}$	71.75 (71.77	7.74 7.70	13.68 13.66)
7а	S	ОН	cis	trans	A	71	[c]		20.5	$C_{14}H_{18}OS$	71.75 (71.68	7.74 7.86	13.68 13.46)
7ь	S	ОН	cis	cis	A	20	73	ether	19.9	$\mathrm{C_{14}H_{18}OS}$	71.75 (71.75	7.74 7.98	13.68 13.75)
8a	0	ОН	trans	trans	A	35	154	AcOEt	16.1	$\mathrm{C}_{14}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}_2$	77.03 (77.13	8.31 8.23)	
8Ь	0	ОН	trans	cis	A	60	[c]		16.6	$\mathrm{C_{14}H_{18}O_2}$	77.03 (77.02	8.31 8.29)	
9a	0	ОН	cis	trans	A	10	111	ether	12.7	$\mathrm{C}_{14}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}_2$	77.03 (76.89	8.31 8.27)	
9b	0	ОН	cis	cis	A	84	110	ether	14.3	$\mathrm{C_{14}H_{18}O_2}$	77.03 (77.23	8.31 8.44)	
10	S	Н	trans		В	74	[c]		6.0 [d]	$C_{14}H_{18}S$	77.01 (76.88	8.31 8.51	14.68 14.46)
11	S	Н	cis		В	68	[c]		7.1 [d]	$C_{14}H_{18}S$	77.01 (77.26	8.31 8.11	14.68 14.53)
12	0	Н	trans		В	73	71	hexane	2.9 [d]	$C_{14}H_{18}O$	83.12 (83.36	8.97 9.05)	
13	0	Н	cis		В	70	[c]		3.3 [d]	$C_{14}H_{18}O$	83.12 (82.96	8.97 8.86)	
14	S				С	62	[c]		11.7 [e]	$C_{14}H_{16}S$	77.72 (77.56	7.45 7.46	14.82 14.75)
15	0				С	58	[c]		5.5 [e]	$C_{14}H_{16}O$	83.96 (83.99	8.05 7.96)	

[a] See Experimental. [b] Hplc: Shimadzu STR ODS-M column, 4.6 x 150 mn i.d. at 35°, mobil phase, 1% acetic acid/acetonitrile (60/40), flow rate, 1.0 ml/minute, detection, 254 nm. [c] Oil. [d] Capillary glc: PEG 20M column, 12.5 m x 0.25 mn i.d. at 180°. [e] Capillary glc: PEG 20M column, 12.5 m x 0.25 mm i.d. at 190°.

benzo[b,e]thiepin 6 and -oxepin 8, respectively (Method A) (Chart 2). Analogous reduction of the cis-6a-H,10a-H-ketones 2 and 4 afforded the cis-6a-H,10a-H-alcohols 7 and 9, respectively. Each alcohol 6-9 was separated by preparative high-performance liquid chromatography (hplc) into two enantiomers A, 6a-9a, and B, 6b-9b, concerning the C-11 configuration (Table I).

The alcohols **6a,b**, **7a**, **8a,b** and **9a** showed broad peaks in their <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C nmr spectra in deuteriochloroform at room temperature. In particular, the signals for 6a-H, 10a-H and 11-H were so broad that no J values were obtainable; however the spectra at 100-120° in nitrobenzene-d<sub>s</sub> showed sharp signals. This observation implies that the conformations of these compounds are very flex-

ible. The 10a-H and 11-H configurations of the isomers  $\mathbf{6a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$ - $\mathbf{9a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  were assigned on the basis of the <sup>1</sup>H nmr spectra measured at  $120^{\circ}$  in nitrobenzene- $\mathbf{d}_{5}$ , particularly coupling constants between 10a-H and 11-H (Table III). Thus,  $\mathbf{J}_{10a,11}$  values of the enantiomer  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{6a}$ - $\mathbf{9a}$  were in a range of 6.5-8.6 Hz, which permitted the assignment of  $\mathbf{6a}$ - $\mathbf{9a}$  as the trans-10a-H, 11-H-isomers. The 10a-H and 11-H of the enantiomer  $\mathbf{B}$   $\mathbf{6b}$ - $\mathbf{9b}$ , have rather small coupling constants of  $\mathbf{J} = 0.9$ -2.1 Hz, therefore strongly indicative of the cis-10a-H, 11-H-isomers.

Reductions of the trans-6a-H,10a-H-ketones 1 and 3 with sodium borohydride in cold (-10°) trifluoroacetic acid (Method B) [5] gave the trans-6a-H,10a-H-deoxo compounds 10 and 12, respectively. On the same treatment,

Table II
Physical Properties of the Oxidation Products 16-19

Compound No.	n	Stereochemistry 6a-H/10a-H	Method [a]	Yield (%)	Mp (°C)	Recrystal- lization Solvent	t <sub>R</sub> [b] (minutes)	Formula		alysis ( :d. (For H	
16a	1	trans	D E	2 0,2	138	AcOEt	4.5	$\mathrm{C_{14}H_{16}O_{2}S}$	67.71 (67.55	6.49 6.66	12.91 13.12)
16b	1	trans	D E	92 91	136	MeOH	6.3	$\mathrm{C_{14}H_{16}O_{2}S}$	67.71 (67.44	6.49 6.47	12.91 12.75)
17a	1	cis	D E	30 12	[c]		4.9	$\mathrm{C_{14}H_{16}O_{2}S}$	67.71 (67.57	6.49 6.67	12.91 12.81)
17b	1	cis	D E	59 81	90	ether	6.7	$\mathrm{C_{14}H_{16}O_{2}S}$	67.71 (67.98	6.49 6.55	12.91 12.92)
18	2	trans	F	95	154	MeOH	4.5	$\mathrm{C_{14}H_{16}O_{3}S}$	63.61 (63.38	6.10 6.13	12.13 $11.91$
19	2	cis	F	97	123	MeOH	4.5	$C_{14}H_{16}O_3S$	63.61 (63.45	6.10 6.15	12.13 12.01)

[a] See the Experimental. [b] Hplc: YMC-Pack A-312 column, 6 x 150 mm i.d. at 35°, mobil phase, 1% acetic acid/methanol (30/70), flow rate 1.0 ml/minute, detection 254 nm. [c] Oil.

a: NaIO<sub>4</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O, MeOH at 20° b: MCPBA/CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 5° c: MCPBA/CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 60° d: hv/cyclohexene e: NaOH/MeOH

Table III

NMR Spectral Data for the Reduction and Oxidation Products 6-19

Compound No.	<sup>1</sup> H NMR [a] 6-H	Chemica 6a-H	al Shifts (δ), 10a-H	Coupling C			<sup>13</sup> C NM <i>C</i> -6		hemical S	
	<b>3.1</b> 2	04-11	104-11	11-33	$J_{10a-11}$	$J_{6a-10a}$	C-6	C-6a	C-10a	<b>C</b> -11
6a	2.58 (dd, J = 13.6, 2.8) 2.23 (dd, J = 13.6, 11.0)	1.49 (m)	1.26 (m)	5.02 (d)	7.4	[c]	40.09	46.35	50.12	76.02
6Ь	2.65 (dd, J = 13.1, 2.6) 2.44 (dd, J = 13.1, 10.2)	1.60 (m)	1.47 (m)	4.86 (d)	2.1	[c]	39.21	41.69	48.72	79.52
7a	2.94 (t, J = 12.2) 2.46 (dd, J = 12.2, 2.8)	1.92 (m)	1.72 (m)	4.91 (d)	7.8	[c]	31.86	35.94	45.86	77.16
7ь	2.68 (dd, J = 14.7, 13.0) 2.23 (dd, J = 14.7, 2.9)	2.13(m)	2.33 (m)	5.41 (d)	<1	[c]	33.58	46.57	42.39	75.12
8a	4.14 (dd, J = 12.0, 3.8) 3.37 (dd, J = 12.0, 9.6)	2.12 (m)	1.36 (m)	4.68 (dd)	8.6	[c]	79.36	39.92	47.75	80.39
8Ь	4.05 (dd, J = 11.9, 3.8) 3.25 (dd, J = 11.9, 10.5)	1.63 (m)	1.26 (m)	4.41 (dd)	0.9	[c]	78.22	44.87	49.29	74.37
9a	4.01 (dd, J = 11.9, 10.3) 3.93 (dd, J = 11.9, 4.4)	2.47 (m)	2.03 (m)	4.66 (dd)	6.5	[c]	74.06	36.19	43.80	76.66
9Ь	4.06 (dd, J = 12.1, 4.4) 3.76 (t, J = 12.1)	2.31 (m)	2.13 (m)	5.14 (dd)	2.0	[c]	72.43	39.60	45.60	73.85
10	2.58 (dd, J = 13.9, 2.7) 2.22 (dd, J = 13.9, 10.8)	1.38 (m)	1.02 (m)	3.04 (dd) 2.58 (dd)	8.7 1.6	[c]	40.36	48.56	43.91	44.80
11	2.79 (dd, J = 13.5, 9.2) 2.49 (dd, J = 13.5, 2.4)	1.98 (m)	1.81 (m)	3.04 (dd) 2.79 (dd)	9.5 3.0	[c]	36.23	41.21	37.94	40.84
12	4.07 (dd, J = 12.0, 3.5) 3.14 (dd, J = 12.0, 10.4)	1.51 (m)	1.01 (m)	2.74 (dd) 2.36 (dd)	10.5 1.8	[c]	78.45	47.75	43.11	42.33
13	3.90 (dd, J = 12.1, 7.5) 3.84 (dd, J = 12.1, 4.0)	1.97 (m)	1.90 (m)	2.93 (dd) 2.58 (dd)	$\begin{array}{c} 8.8 \\ 2.4 \end{array}$	[e]	75.46	41.77	36.89	37.62
14 [d]	2.99 (dd, $J = 14.0, 4.1$ ) 2.77 (dd, $J = 14.0, 7.5$ )	2.67 (m)		6.39 (s)			40.70	46.91	148.11	123.89
15 [d]	4.20 (dd, J = 12.1, 3.9) 3.93 (dd, J = 12.1, 7.0)	2.57 (m)		6.12 (s)			75.87	45.37	146.02	121.45
16a [d]	3.23 (dd, J = 14.1, 9.0) 3.08 (dd, J = 14.1, 4.5)	2.05 (m)	3.06 (m)			11.0	57.57	37.37	54.29	206.63
16b[d]	3.42 (dd, J = 13.1 6.1) 3.13 (dd, J = 13.1, 1.1)	2.55 (m)	1.79 (m)			10.5	62.85	34.89	54.06	199.97
17a [d]	3.36 (dd, J = 13.8, 5.4) 3.05 (dd, J = 13.8, 11.0)	2.76 (m)	3.60 (m)			5.4	52.11	30.71	50.45	204.95
17b[d]	3.45 (dd, J = 12.8, 6.8) 2.94 (t, J = 12.8)	2.58 (m)	3.03 (m)			5.9	61.41	33.19	48.79	199.87
18 [d]	3.48 (dd, J = 15.1, 5.1) 3.36 (dd, J = 15.1 8.6)	2.02 (m)	2.94 (m)			11.0	61.22	36.71	54.20	204.86
19 [d]	3.54 (dd, J = 15.2, 12.0) 3.40 (dd, J = 15.2, 5.2)	2.69 (m)	3.27 (m)			4.4	56.49	32.26	51.77	203.87

[a] In nitrobenzene-d5 at 120°. [b] In nitrobenzene-d5 at 100°. [c] J values could not be determined. [d] In deuteriochloroform at 25°.

the cis-6a-H,10a-H-analogues 2 and 4 afforded the cis-6a-H,10a-H-deoxo compounds 11 and 13, respectively. Compounds 10-13 were alternatively obtained from the corresponding alcohols 6a,b-9a,b under the same reduction conditions. Although the configurations of 6a-H and 10a-H of the ketones 1-4 remained unchanged under such conditions, the reduction at the elevated temperature (30°) caused the enolization, thereby giving a mixture of the cis-6a-H,10a-H and trans-6a-H,10a-H-derivatives.

Treatment of the trans-6a-H,10a-H-alcohols 6a,b and

8a,b with dilute sulfuric acid in ethanol (Method C) gave the dehydro compounds 14 and 15, respectively, which were also obtained from the *cis*-6a-*H*,10a-*H*-analogues 7a,b and 9a,b.

Catalytic hydrogenation of 14 in the presence of 5% palladium-on-carbon gave a mixture of 10 and 11 in a 2:1 ratio (Chart 2). Similarly, the oxepin analogue 15 afforded a 2:3 mixture of 12 and 13. The purity and ratio of these stereoisomers were determined by capillary gas-liquid chromatography (glc).

Oxidations.

Oxidations of the trans-6a-H,10a-H-ketone 1 with sodium metaperiodate in aqueous methanol gave the trans-6a-H,10a-H-sulfoxide 16 (Method D) (Chart 3). The cis-6a-H, 10a-H-analogue 2 gave the cis-6a-H,10a-H-sulfoxide 17 under the same reaction conditions. The sulfoxides 16 and 17 consist of two enantiomers, 16a/16b and 17a/17b, respectively, concerning the S-oxide moiety. Each enantiomer was isolated by preparative hplc (Table II). Compounds 16a,b and 17a,b were alternatively obtained by oxidation of the corresponding ketones 1 and 2 with an equimolar amount of m-chloroperbenzoic acid (MCPBA) in chloroform at 5° (Method E).

Oxidation of 1 and 2 with two molar MCPBA at 60° gave the sulfones 18 and 19, respectively (Method F), which were identical with samples obtained from the sulfoxides 16 and 17 under the same reaction conditions.

In the 'H nmr spectroscopy of the sulfoxides 16a,b and

Chart 4

17a,b and the sulfones 18 and 19, there were observed typical *trans* and *cis* coupling constants (10.5-11.0 and 4.4-5.9, respectively) [1] [2] between the angular protons

6a-H and 10a-H (Table III). The coupling constants thus indicated **16a,b** and **18** to be *trans*-6a-H,10a-H and **17a,b** and **19** to be *cis*-6a-H,10a-H. The relative stereochemistry of the sulfoxide moiety in **16a,b**, and **17a,b**, however, could not determined by the <sup>1</sup>H nmr analysis.

Kirby et al. [6] reported that the photoirradiation of 3-acetoxybenzo[b]thiophen 1,1-dioxide 20 in the presence of cyclohexene gave the adduct 21, which on treatment with sodium hydroxide in aqueous methanol was transformed into the tricyclic sulfone 22, of which relative stereochemistry between 6a-H and 10a-H had remained indefinite. Compound 22, however, was identical with the trans-6a-H, 10a-H-sulfone 18 by comparison between the reported and our data for <sup>1</sup>H nmr, <sup>13</sup>C nmr spectra and melting points.

The Pummerer rearrangement of the trans-6a-H,10a-H-sulfoxide 16 with acetic anhydride gave trans-6-H,6a-H, trans-6a-H,10a-H-6-acetate 23a and cis-6-H,6a-H, trans-6a-H,10a-H-6-acetate 23b in 51 and 9% yields, respectively (Chart 4). The same reaction of the cis-6a-H,10a-H-sulfoxide 17 gave trans-6-H,6a-H, cis-6a-H,10a-H-6-acetate 24a and cis-6-H,6a-H, cis-6a-H,10a-H-6-acetate 24b in 20 and 40% yields, respectively.

The 6-H,6a-H configuration of the isomeric acetates 23a,b and 24a,b was assigned on the basis of the coupling constants between 6-H and 6a-H. The isomers 23a and 24a showed a doublet for 6-H at  $\delta$  5.87 and 5.64 with the coupling constants between 6-H and 6a-H of 4.7 and 10.6 Hz, respectively; hence 23a and 24a were assigned as trans-6-H,6a-H-isomers. Similarly, the other isomers 23b and 24b were assigned as cis-6-H,6a-H on the basis of their coupling constants between 6-H and 6a-H showing J = 2.0 and 5.2 Hz, respectively.

Method I

A1 
$$X = S$$

A2  $X = O$ 

1, 2, 3, 4

a: Lawesson's reagent/toluene b: (EtO)2P(O)CH2COOEt, NaH/THF

Reactions of the Carbonyl Group.

The Grignard reactions of the trans-6a-H,10a-H-ketones 1 and 3 with methylmagnesium bromide gave the trans-6a-H,10a-H-alcohols 25 and 27, respectively (Method G) (Chart 5). Analogously, the cis-6a-H,10a-H-ketones 2 and 4 afforded the corresponding cis-6a-H,10a-H-alcohols 26 and 28. Dehydration of 25 and 27 with hydrochloric acid in ethanol gave trans-6a-H,10a-H-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-methylenes 29 and 31 along with the 6,6a,7,8,9,10-hexahydro-11-methyl derivatives 33 and 34, respectively. A similar acid-treatment of the cis-6a-H,10a-H-analogues 26 and 28 gave the cis-6a-H,10a-H-11-methylenes 30 and 32 along with the 11-methyl derivatives 33 and 34 respectively.

Every thiepin derivative 29, 30 and 33 showed the molecular formula  $C_{15}H_{18}S$  and the molecular ion peak (M\*) at m/z 230 in its ms spectrum. The oxepin analogues 31, 32 and 34 were all analyzed to  $C_{15}H_{18}O$ . The 'H nmr spectra of 29-32 exhibited signals of two protons for a methylene group at  $\delta$  4.91-5.14 (1H, doublet, J = 0.9-2.1 Hz) and  $\delta$  5.19-5.28 (1H, doublet, J = 0.9-2.1 Hz), while the spectra of 33 and 34 showed three protons for a methyl group at  $\delta$  2.03-2.08 (3H, doublet,  $J_{CH_3,10_{eq}}$  = 1.8-2.0 Hz) (Tables IV and V). These data are consistent with the assigned structure of 29-34.

Treatment of the trans-6a-H,10a-H-thiepin 1 and oxepin 3 with phenylmagnesium bromide, followed by dehydration, gave the 6,6a,7,8,9,10-hexahydro-11-phenyl derivatives 39 and 40, respectively, as a sole product (Method H). The cis-6a-H,10a-H-oxepin 4 underwent smoothly the Grignard reaction with the same reagent to give 40. However, the cis-6a-H,10a-H-thiepin 2 failed to react with phenylmagnesium bromide under the same reaction conditions, resulting in a recovery of the starting ketone 2. The carbonyl group of 2 thus suffers greatly from steric hindrance to the cyclohexyl ring compared with that of the isomers 1, 3 and 4.

Thiation of either 1 or 2 with the Lawesson's reagent [7] gave the thioxo derivative 41 (Method I) (Chart 6), which was analyzed to  $C_{12}H_{16}S_2$  and showed the molecular ion peak (M<sup>+</sup>) at m/z 248 in its ms spectrum and no carbonyl absorption band in its ir spectrum. The <sup>1</sup>H nmr spectrum of 41 showed the 10a-H signal at  $\delta$  3.80 (1H, multiplet,  $J_{6a,10a} = 11.8$  Hz) (Tables IV and V). These results strongly support the assigned trans-6a-H,10a-H-thioxo structure 41. Similarly, the trans-6a-H,10a-H-thioxo derivative 42 was obtained from both oxepin analogues 3 and 4. The epimerization at C-10a occurred during the thiation process and produced finally the more thermodynamically-stable trans-6a-H,10a-H-isomer 41 or 42 as a sole product.

The Wittig reactions of 1 and 2 with triethyl phosphonoacetate and sodium hydride in tetrahydrofurane, ac-

Table IV
Physical Properties of Compounds 29-34 and 39-46

29-32, 41-46 33, 34, 39, 40

Compound No.			R	Method [a]	<u>-</u>		Recrystal- lization	R <sub>f</sub> [b] Formula		Analysis (%) Calcd. (Found)				
					, ,		Solvent			$\mathbf{C}$	H	N	S	
29	S	trans	$\mathrm{CH_2}$	G	67	[c]		0.73	$\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{S}$	78.21 (78.20	7.88 7.80	_	13.92 13.71)	
30	S	cis	CH <sub>2</sub>	G	21	[c]		0.66	$\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{S}$	78.21 (77.97	$7.88 \\ 7.91$	_	13.92 13.88)	
31	0	trans	$CH_2$	G	38	[c]		0.50	$\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}$	84.07 (83.86	8.47 8.66)			
32	0	cis	$CH_2$	G	3	[c]		0.35	$\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}$	84.07 (83.95	8.47 8.52)			
33	S		CH <sub>3</sub>	G	10 [d] 15 [e]	[c]		0.62	$\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{S}$	78.21 (78.16	7.88 7.92	_	13.92 13.89)	
34	0		CH <sub>3</sub>	G	36 [d] 43 [e]	[c]		0.23	$\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}$	84.07 (83.91	8.47 8.57)			
39	S		$\mathrm{C_6H_5}$	Н	72 [d] 0 [e]	91	hexane	0.53	$\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{20}\mathrm{S}$	82.12 (82.18	6.89 6.99	_ _	10.96 10.87)	
40	o		$C_6H_5$	Н	76 [d] 71 [e]	114	hexane	0.28	$C_{20}H_{20}O$	86.92 (86.95	7.29 $7.32)$			
41	s	trans	S	I	61 [d] 57 [e]	[c]		0.65	$\mathrm{C}_{14}\mathrm{H}_{16}\mathrm{S}_2$	67.67 (67.43	6.49 6.33	-	25.82 25.78)	
42	0	trans	S	I	56 [d] 58 [e]	[c]		0.28	$C_{14}H_{16}OS$	72.37 (72.61	6.94 7.07	- -	13.80 13.62)	
43	S	trans	CHC00Et	J	5 [d] 4 [e]	[c]		0.92 [f]	$C_{18}H_{22}O_2S$	71.49 (71.52	7.33 $7.24$	-	10.60 10.51)	
44	S	cis	CHCOOEt	J	71 [d] 75 [e]	[c]		0.77 [f]	$\mathrm{C}_{18}\mathrm{H}_{22}\mathrm{O}_2\mathrm{S}$	71.49 (71.38	$7.33 \\ 7.32$	_	10.60 $10.48$ )	
45	0	trans	CHC00Et	J	13 [d] 12 [e]	[c]		0.71 [f]	$\mathrm{C_{18}H_{22}O_{3}}$	75.50 (75.34	7.74 7.92)		ŕ	
46	0	cis	CHC00Et	J	71 [d] 73[e]	[c]		0.57 [f]	$C_{18}H_{22}O_3$	75.50 (75.35	7.74 7.84)			

[a] See the Experimental. [b] Tlc: developing solvent, touene/hexane (20/80 v/v). [c] Oil. [d] Yield from the trans-ketone 1 or 3. [e] Yield from the cis-ketone 2 or 4. [f] Tlc: developing solvent, chloroform.

companied by epimerization at 10a-H, gave the trans-6a-H,10a-H-adduct 43 and the cis-6a-H,10a-H-adduct 44 in 5:71 and 4:75 ratio, respectively (Method J) (Chart 6) (Table IV). A similar treatment of the oxepin analogues 3 and 4 afforded trans-45 and cis-46 in 13:71 and 12:73 ratios, respectively. The trans-6a-H,10a-H and cis-6a-H, 10a-H relative configurations of the isomers 43-46 were assigned mainly on the basis of the coupling constants between 6a-H and 10a-H (Table V). The  $J_{6a,10a}$  values of compounds 43 and 45 were in a range of 11.1-11.8 Hz, thus permitting the assignment as the trans-6a-H,10a-H-isomers. The two protons, 6a-H and 10a-H, of compounds 44

and 46 were assigned cis-6a-H,10a-H owing to their small coupling constants (3.7-4.1 Hz). The Wittig reactions of 1 and 2 (also 3 and 4) caused the epimerization at C-10a, always giving the cis-6a-H,10a-H-derivative 44 (46) as a major product. This finding interestingly differs from the fact that both the thiation and the epimerization [1] of 1-4 under alkaline conditions yielded the trans-6a-H,10a-H-isomer as a major product. The geometrical configuration of the ethoxycarbonyl methine moieties in 43-46 was determined to be Z by the long range coupling constants (J = 0.7-1.0 Hz) between the methine proton and the 4-H in their 'H nmr spectra taken in nitrobenzene-d<sub>5</sub> at 100° (Table V, [c]-[f]).

Table V

1H NMR Spectral Data for Compounds 29-34 and 39-46

Compound	Chemical Shifts (δ, in	deuteriochlorofo	rm), Coupling Co	nstants (J, Hz)	
Ño	6-H	6a-H	10a-H	$J_{6a-10a}$	Protons for the C-11 Substituent
29	3.37 (dd, J = 14.2, 4.6) 2.48 (dd, J = 14.2, 2.6)	1.69 (m)	2.51 (m)	11.8	5.14 (1H, d, J = 0.9, CH) 5.28 (1H, d, J = 0.9, CH)
30	3.16 (dd, J = 14.4, 12.4) 2.48 (dd, J = 14.4, 3.1)	2.36 (m)	2.73 (m)	4.2	4.91 (1H, d, J = 2.1, CH) 5.27 (1H, d, J = 2.1 CH)
31	4.19 (dd, J = 12.2, 4.1) 3.87 (dd, J = 12.3, 2.6)	1.54 (m)	2.22 (m)	10.7	5.05 (1H, d, J = 1.0, CH) 5.28 (1H, d, J = 1.0, CH)
32	4.12 (dd, J = 12.2, 4.5) 3.98 (dd, J = 12.2, 10.7)	2.35 (m)	2.76 (m)	4.9	5.08 (1H, d, J = 1.8, CH) 5.19 (1H, d, J = 1.8, CH)
33	3.31-3.40 (2H, m)	2.52 (m)			$2.03 (3H, d, J = 1.8, CH_3)$
34	4.15-4.23 (2H, m)	2.62 (m)			$2.08 (3H, d, J = 2.0, CH_3)$
39	3.41-3.51 (2H, m)	2.68 (m)			7.08-7.33 (5H, m, Ph)
40	4.30 (dd, J = 11.6, 4.7) 4.05 (dd, J = 11.6, 8.1)	2.76 (m)			7.06-7.39 (5H, m, Ph)
41	3.19 (dd, J = 14.6, 5.1) 2.60 (dd, J = 14.6, 0.5)	2.13 (m)	3.80 (m)	11.8	
<b>42</b> [a]	4.17 (d, J = 12.3) 3.95 (dd, J = 12.3, 4.3)	2.00 (m)	3.35 (m)	11.6	
43 [b]	2.76 (dd, J = 11.5, 3.1) 2.47 (dd, J = 11.5, 11.1)	1.25 (m)	3.62 (m)	11.1	5.51[c] (1H, s, CH), $4.21$ (2H, q, $J = 7.2$ , CH <sub>2</sub> ), $1.30$ (3H, t $J = 7.2$ , CH <sub>3</sub> )
<b>44</b> [b]	3.06 (dd, J = 14.1, 12.2) 2.41 (dd, J = 14.0, 3.1)	2.34 (m)	2.62 (m)	3.7	6.05 [d] (1H, s, CH), $3.92$ (2H, q, J = 7.2, CH <sub>2</sub> ), $0.99$ (3H, t, J = 7.2, CH <sub>3</sub> )
45 [b]	4.13 (dd, J = 11.8, 3.9) 3.68 (dd, J = 11.8, 6.5)	1.54 (m)	2.10 (m)	11.8	5.92 [e] (1H, s, CH), $4.06$ (2H, q, J = 7.0, CH <sub>2</sub> ), $1.10$ (3H, t, J = 7.0, CH <sub>3</sub> )
56 [Ь]	4.10 (dd, J = 12.3, 4.7) 4.01 (t, J = 12.3)	2.39 (m)	2.61 (m)	4.1	6.00 [f] (1H, s, CH), 4.03 (2H, q, J = 7.1, CH2), 1.09 (3H, t, J = 7.1, CH3)

[a] In dichloromethane-d<sub>2</sub> at room temperature. [b] In nitrobenzene-d<sub>5</sub> at room temperature. [c] Observed at  $\delta$  5.51 (1H, d, J = 1.0, CH) in nitrobenzene-d<sub>5</sub> at 100°. [d] Observed at  $\delta$  6.05 (1H, d, J = 0.9, CH) in nitrobenzene-d<sub>5</sub> at 100°. [e] Observed at  $\delta$  5.92 (1H, d, J = 1.0, CH) in nitrobvenzene-d<sub>5</sub> at 100°. [f] Observed at  $\delta$  6.00 (1H, d, J = 0.7, CH) in nitrobenzene-d<sub>5</sub> at 100°.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

All melting points were determined on a Yanagimoto micro melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C nmr spectra were obtained on a Varian XL-300 spectrometer with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. The following abbreviations are used: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; dd, double doublet; m, multiplet. Ir spectra were recorded on a Hitachi 260-10 grating ir spectrophotometer and ms spectra on a JEOL D-300 ms spectrometer. The hplc was carried out on Shimadazu LC-4A system and capillary glc on a Hewlett Packard 5840A. The tlc were run on pre-coated Silica gel 60F-254 plates (0.2 mm thick, Merck), and spots were detected by uv irradiation on the plate at 254 nm. Column chromatography was carried out on Merck Silica gel 60. Organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and the solvent was removed with a rotatory evaporator under reduced pressure. Purities of oily compound were examined by tlc, hplc, capillary glc and nmr spectra.

Reduction of 1-4 with Sodium Borohydride in Methanol (Method A).

trans-6a-H,10a-H-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-Octahydro-11-oxodiben-zo[b,e]thiepin 1 [1] (2.3 g, 0.010 mole) was dissolved in 20 ml of methanol. Sodium borohydride (1.4 g, 0.037 mole) was added por-

tionwise to the resulting solution below at 5° with stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 hours at 5° and then poured into water. The product was extracted with toluene. The extract was washed with water, dried and concentrated to give a mixture of trans-6a-H,10a-H-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-hydroxydibenzo[b,e]thiepins 6a and 6b as an oil (2.2 g, 96%). Separation of 6a and 6b was achieved by preparative hplc, using a Shimadzu STR PREP-ODS-M column and a mobil phase consisted of a 60:40 mixture of 1% acetic acid and acetonitrile. The first fraction gave 6b as an oil and the second fraction gave 6a as an oil. Yield, mp, t<sub>R</sub>-value on hplc and analytical data were summarized in Table I; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C nmr data were given in Table II.

Reduction of 1-4 with Sodium Borohydride in Trifluoroacetic Acid (Method B).

Compound 1 (2.3 g, 0.010 mole) was dissolved in 10 ml of trifluoroacetic acid. Sodium borohydride (0.4 g, 0.010 mole) was added portionwise to the stirred solution at  $-10^{\circ}$ . The reaction mixture was kept at  $-10^{\circ}$  for 3 hours and poured into water. The product was extracted with hexane. The extract was washed with water, dried and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column, using hexane as an eluent to give trans-6a-H,10a-H-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydrodibenzo[b,e]thiepin (10) as an oil (Tables I and II).

#### Dehydration of 6-9 (Method C).

A solution of the trans-6a-H,10a-H-11-hydroxythiepin 6 (0.6 g, 0.0026 mole) in 20 ml of ethanol and 10 ml of 20% sulfuric acid was refluxed for 48 hours and then poured into water. The product was extracted with hexane. The extract was washed with water, dried and concentrated to give the residue, which was chromatographed on a silica gel column, using hexane as an eluent to give 6,6a,7,8,9,10-hexahydrodibenzo[b,e]thiepin (14) as an oil (Tables I and II).

### Catalytic Reduction of 14 and 15.

A mixture of 14 (0.5 g, 0.0023 mole), 5% palladium-on-carbon (0.25 g), and 10 ml of ethanol was stirred at 60° under a hydrogen atmosphere for 4 hours (60 ml of hydrogen was absorbed). The catalyst was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated to dryness to give a mixture of 10 and 11 as an oil (0.42 g, 84%). Analysis by capillary glc using a PEG 20M column 12.5 m x 0.25 mm i.d., at 190° showed that the mixture consisted of 66% of 10 (t<sub>R</sub> 6.0 minutes) and 34% of 11 (t<sub>R</sub> 7.1 minutes).

The same treatment of 15 afforded a mixture of 12 and 13 in 80% yield. The capillary glc analysis revealed that the mixture consisted of 42% of 12 (t<sub>R</sub> 2.9 minutes) and 58% of 13 (t<sub>R</sub> 3.3 minutes).

# Oxidation of 1 and 2 with Sodium Mataperiodate (Method D).

A solution of 1 (2.3 g, 0.010 mole) and sodium metaperiodate (4.3 g, 0.040 mole) in 120 ml of 60% methanol was stirred for 72 hours at room temperature and then poured into water. The product was extracted with chloroform and the extract was washed with water, dried and concentrated to give a mixture of trans-6a-H,10a-H-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-oxodibenzo[b,e]thiepins 5-oxide 16a and 16b (2.4 g, 98%). The separation of 16a and 16b was achieved by preparative hplc, using YMC-Pack ODS-A column and a mobil phase consisted of 1% acetic acid:methanol (30:70). The first fraction gave 16a and the second fraction gave 16b (Tables II and III).

# Oxidation of 1 and 2 with m-Chloroperbenzoic Acid at 5° (Method E).

A solution of 80% MCPBA (2.2 g, 0.010 mole) in 30 ml of chloroform was added at below 5° to a solution of 1 (2.3 g, 0.010 mole) in 20 ml of chloroform. The solution was kept at the same temperature for 24 hours and then washed successively with 5% potassium carbonate and water, dried and concentrated to give a mixture of 16a and 16b (2.4 g, 98%). The separation of 16a and 16b was achieved by the preparative hplc. The first fraction gave 16a and the second fraction gave 16b (Tables II and III).

# Oxidation of 1 and 2 with m-Chloroperbenzoic Acid at 60° (Method F).

A solution of 1 (2.3 g, 0.010 mole) and 80% MCPBA (4.8 g, 0.022 mole) in 30 ml of chloroform was refluxed for 4 hours. The mixture was washed successively with 5% potassium carbonate and water, dried and concentrated to yield residue, which was crystallized from methanol to give trans-6a-H,10a-H-6,6a,7,8,9, 10,10a,11-octahydro-11-oxodibenzo[b,e]thiepin 5,5-dioxide (18) (Tables II and III).

### The Pummerer Rearrangement of 16 and 17.

A mixture of 16 (1 g, 0.004 mole) and 30 ml of acetic anhydride was heated at 110° for 18 hours. The acetic anhydride was

removed under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column with toluene as an eluent. The first fraction gave trans-6-H,6a-H, trans-6a-H,10a-H-6-acetoxy-6,6a,7, 8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-oxodibenzo[b,e]thiepin (23a, 0.6 g, 51%), which was recrystallized from toluene-hexane, mp 130-131°; ir (potassium bromide): 1745 (C = O), 1665 (C = O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; ms: m/z 290 (M\*); 'H nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  2.10 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.25 (1H, m, 6a-H), 3.07 (1H, m, 10a-H), 5.87 (1H, d, J = 4.7 Hz, 6-H), 7.23-7.90 (4H, m, phenyl).

Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S: C, 66.18; H, 6.25; S, 11.04. Found: C, 66.22; H, 6.27; S, 10.92.

The second fraction gave cis-6-H,6a-H, trans-6a-H,10a-H-6-acetoxy-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-oxodibenzo[b,e]thiepin as an oil (23b, 0.1 g, 9%); ir (film): 1745 (C=0), 1670 (C=0) cm<sup>-1</sup>; ms: m/z 290 (M\*); <sup>1</sup>H nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  1.79 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.00 (1H, m, 6a-H), 3.08 (1H, m, 10a-H), 5.72 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, 6-H), 7.28-7.80 (4H, m, phenyl).

Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{16}H_{18}O_3S$ : C, 66.18; H, 6.25; S, 11.04. Found: C, 66.35; H, 6.41; S, 11.03.

The same treatment of 17 gave a mixture of 24a and 24b, of which separation was achieved by a silica gel column chromatography with toluene as an eluent. The first fraction gave trans-6-H,6a-H, cis-6a-H,10a-H-6-acetoxy-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-oxodibenzo[b,e]thiepin (24a) as an oil in 20% yield; ir (film): 1750 (CO), 1670 (CO) cm<sup>-1</sup>; ms: m/z 290 (M\*); <sup>1</sup>H nmr (deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  2.11 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.44 (1H, m, 6a-H), 3.40 (1H, m, 10a-H), 5.64 (1H, d, J = 10.6 Hz, 6-H), 7.30-7.80 (4H, m, phenyl). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S: C, 66.18; H, 6.25; S, 11.04. Found: C, 66.15; H, 6.31; S, 10.88.

The second fraction gave cis-6-H,6a-H, cis-6a-H, 10a-H-6-acetoxy-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-oxodibenzo[b,e]thiepin (24b) as an oil in 40% yield; ir (film): 1745 (CO), 1675 (CO) cm<sup>-1</sup>; ms: m/z 290 (M<sup>+</sup>); <sup>1</sup>H nmr deuteriochloroform):  $\delta$  1.71 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.50 (1H, m, 6a-H), 3.85 (1H, m, 10a-H), 6.18 (1H, d, J = 5.2 Hz, 6-H), 7.30-7.91 (4H, m, phenyl).

Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{16}H_{18}O_3S$ : C, 66.18; H, 6.25; S, 11.04. Found: C, 66.41; H, 6.20; S, 11.12.

# The Grignard Reaction of 1-4 with Methylmagnesium Bromide (Method G).

A solution of 1 (2.32 g, 0.010 mole) in 10 ml of ether was added at below 5° to a solution of methylmagnesium bromide (available from Nacalai Tesque Inc., 33 ml, 0.10 mole as a 3 mole/ $\ell$  of ether solution). The solution was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature and then poured into cold dilute hydrochloric acid. The product was extracted with toluene and the extract was washed with water, dried and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column, using toluene as an eluent to give *trans*-6a-H,10a-H-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-hydroxy-11-methyldibenzo[b,e]thiepin (25) as an oil (2.3 g, 93%); ir (film): 3400 (OH) cm<sup>-1</sup>; ms: m/z 248 (M\*).

Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{18}H_{20}OS$ : C, 72.53; H, 8.12; S, 12.91. Found: C, 72.11; H, 8.44; S, 12.89.

A mixture of 25 (2.3 g) and 2 ml of a 35% hydrochloric acidethanol solution in 30 ml of ethanol was heated at 85° for 2 hours. The mixture was poured into water and extracted with hexane. The extract was washed with water, dried and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column with hexane as an eluent. The first fraction gave trans-6a-H,10a-

H-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-methylenedibenzo[b,e]thiepin (29) as an oil and the second fraction gave 11-methyl-6,6a, 7,8,9,10-hexahydrodibenzo[b,e]thiepin (33) as an oil (Tables IV and V).

The Grignard Reaction of 1-4 with Phenylmagnesium Bromide (Method H).

A solution of 1 (2.32 g, 0.010 mole) in 20 ml of tetrahydrofuran was added at below 5° to a solution of phenylmagnesium bromide (available from Nacalai Tesque Inc., 11.4 ml, 0.10 mole as a 2 mole/227 ml tetrahydrofuran solution). The solution was stirred for 16 hours at room temperature and then poured into cold dilute hydrochloric acid. The product was extracted with toluene and the extract was washed with water, dried and concentrated to give trans-6a-H,10a-H-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-hydroxy-11-phenyldibenzo[b,e]thiepin (35) (2.8 g, 90%) as an oil; ir (film): 3430 (OH) cm<sup>-1</sup>; ms: m/z 310 (M\*).

Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{20}H_{22}OS$ : C, 77.37; H, 7.14; S, 10.33. Found: C, 77.41; H, 7.27; S, 10.56.

A solution of compound **35** (2.8 g) in 150 ml of formic acid was heated at 110° for 1 hour. The formic acid was removed and the residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column with hexane as an eluent to give 6,6a,7,8,9,10-hexahydro-11-phenyldibenzo-[b,e]thiepin (**39**) (Tables IV and V).

Thiation of 1-4 with the Lawesson's Reagent (Method I).

A solution of 1 (2.32 g, 0.010 mole) and the Lawesson's reagent [2,4-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,3-dithia-2,4-diphosphetane-2,4-disulfide, available from Aldrich Chemical Co., Ltd., 8.2 g, 0.020 mole] in 20 ml of toluene was refluxed for 16 hours. The toluene was removed and the residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column with hexane as an eluent to give trans-6a-H,10a-H-6,6a, 7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-thioxodibenzo[b,e]thiepin (41) as an oil (Tables IV and V).

### The Wittig Reaction of 1-4 (Method J).

Sodium hydride (0.86 g, 0.0214 mole as a 60% dispersion in mineral oil) was added to a solution of triethyl phosphonoacetate (4.8 g, 0.0214 mole) in 50 ml of tetrahydrofuran. The mixture was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature until gas evolution stopped, and then 1 (1.5 g, 0.0065 mole) was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 16 hours at

80° and then poured into water. The product was extracted with toluene and the extract was washed with water, dried and concentrated to give a mixture of (Z)-trans-6a-H,10a-H- and (Z)-cis-6a-H,10a-H-6,6a,7,8,9,10,10a,11-octahydro-11-(ethoxycarbonyl)-methylenedibenzo[b,e]thiepins 43 and 44 as an oil. The residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column, using toluene:hexane (1:1) as eluent. The first fraction gave 43 and the second fraction gave 44 (Tables IV and V).

Acknowledgements.

We thank Dr. M. Hashimoto, Director of Research and Development Headquarters for his encouragement throughout this work. We also thank staffs of the analytical section of these laboratories for the elemental analyses and spectral measurements.

#### REFERENCES AND NOTES

- [1] M. Kurokawa, K. Yoshida, Y. Nagai and H. Uno, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 31, 4312 (1983).
- [2] M. Kurokawa, H. Uno, H. Nakamura, F. Sato and S. Naruto, J. Med. Chem., 33, 504 (1990).
- [3] V. Seidova, M. Rajsner, E. Adlerova, M. Protiva, Monatsh. Chem., 96, 650 (1965); M. Rajsner, V. Bartl, K. Sindelar, E. Svatek, J. Holubek, J. Metys and M. Protiva, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 44, 2536 (1979); V. Valenta, F. Kvis, J. Nemic and M. Protiva, ibid., 44, 2689 (1979); V. Valenta, M. Bartosova and M. Protiva, ibid., 45, 517 (1980); V. Valenta, A. Dlabac, M. Bartosova, E. Svatek and M. Protiva, ibid., 45, 529 (1980).
- [4] M. Gordon, Medicinal Chemistry, Vol. 4-III, Academic Press, New York, 1974, p 101; F. Gadient, E. Jucker, A. Lindemann and M. Taeschler, Helv. Chim. Acta, 45, 1860 (1962); K. Ueno, S. Kubo, H. Tagawa, T. Yoshioka, W. Tsukada, M. Tsubokawa, H. Kojima and A. Kasahara, J. Med. Chem., 19, 941 (1976); T. Yoshioka, M. Kitagawa, M. Oki, S. Kubo, H. Tagawa, K. Ueno, W. Tsukada, M. Tsubokawa and A. Kasahara, ibid., 21, 633 (1978); D. E. Aultz, G. C. Helsley, D. Hoffman, A. R. MacFadden, H. B. Lassman and C. Wilker, ibid., 20, 66 (1977); D. E. Aultz, A. R. MacFadden and H. B. Lassman, ibid., 20, 1499 (1977); J. Ackrell, Y. Antonio, F. Franco, R. Landeros, A. Leon, J. M. Muchowski, M. L. Maddox, P. H. Nelson, W. H. Rooks, A. Roszkowski and M. B. Wellach, ibid., 21, 1035 (1978).
- [5] G. W. Gribble and R. M. Leese, Synthesis., 172 (1977); G. W. Gribble, W. K. Kelley and S. E. Emery, ibid., 763 (1978).
- [6] N. V. Kirby and S. T. Red, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 150 (1980).
- [7] W. Walter and T. Prooll, Synthesis, 941 (1979); S. Raacher and P. Klein, Tetrahedron Letters, 21, 4061 (1980).